Topological excitations and the dynamic structure factor of spin liquids on the kagome lattice
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Recent neutron scattering experiments on the spin-1/2 kagome lattice antiferromagnet ZnCu₃(OH)₂Cl₂ (Herbertsmithite) provide the first evidence of fractionalized excitations in a quantum spin liquid state in two spatial dimensions. In contrast to existing theoretical models of both gapped and gapless spin liquids2–8, which give rise to sharp dispersing features in the dynamic structure factor9,10, the measured dynamic structure factor reveals an excitation continuum that is remarkably flat as a function of frequency. Here we show that many experimentally observed features can be explained by the presence of topological vison excitations in a Z₂ spin liquid7. These visons form flat bands on the kagome lattice, and thus act as a momentum sink for spin-carrying excitations that are probed by neutron scattering. We compute the dynamic structure factor for two different Z₂ spin liquids9 and find that our results for one of them are in qualitative agreement with the neutron scattering experiments above a very low energy cutoff, below which the structure factor is flattened. Such localized excitations act as a momentum sink for the spinons, thereby flattening the dynamic structure factor. So far, the spinon continuum, in accordance with experimental results. Note that the vison gap has to be small for this mechanism to work. This assumption is justified by numerical density matrix renormalization group calculations11–13, which indicate that a Z₂ spin liquid ground-state on the kagome lattice is proximate to a valence bond solid (VBS) transition, at which the vison gap vanishes.

Model

Our aim is to compute the dynamic structure factor for two Z₂ spin liquids that have been discussed in detail in ref. 2. We start from the standard bosonic spin liquid mean-field theory of the spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on the kagome lattice. Using a Schwinger-boson representation of the spin-1/2 operators \( S_i = b_i \sigma \alpha b_i^{\dagger} / 2 \), where \( \sigma \) denotes the vector of Pauli matrices and \( b_i^{\dagger} \) is the creation operator of a boson with spin \( \alpha \) on lattice site \( i \). Performing a mean-field decoupling in the spin-singlet channel, the Heisenberg Hamiltonian can be written as

\[
H_b = -J \sum_{\langle \alpha \beta \rangle} Q_{\alpha \beta} \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} b_\alpha b_\beta + \text{h.c.} + \lambda \sum_i b_i^{\dagger} b_i
\]

with \( Q_{\alpha \beta} = \langle \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} b_i^{\dagger} b_j \rangle / 2 \), \( \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} \) is the fully antisymmetric tensor of SU(2), \( \text{h.c.} \) is the hermitian conjugate term and \( \lambda \) denotes the Lagrange multiplier that fixes the constraint of one Schwinger boson per lattice site. Sums over Greek indices are implicit. To study the effect of vison excitations on the spinons, we have to include phase fluctuations of the mean-field variables \( Q_b \), in our theory. The \( Z_2 \) spin liquid corresponds to the Higgs phase of the resulting emergent gauge theory, where the phase fluctuations are described by an Ising bond variable \( \sigma_{ij}^{\mu} \). The Hamiltonian describing bosonic spinons and their coupling to the Ising gauge field takes the form

\[
H = -J \sum_{\langle \alpha \beta \rangle} \sigma_{ij}^{\mu} (Q_{\alpha \beta}^{\mu} \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} b_\alpha b_\beta + \text{h.c.}) + \lambda \sum_i b_i^{\dagger} b_i \\
+ K \sum_{\langle \langle \mu \nu \rangle \rangle} \prod_{\alpha \beta} \sigma_{ij}^{\mu} \sigma_{ij}^{\nu} - h \sum_{\langle \langle \mu \rangle \rangle} \sigma_{ij}^{\mu}
\]

where the terms on the second line are responsible for the dynamics of the gauge field \( \sigma_{ij}^{\mu} \), \( K \) and \( h \) are phenomenological parameters that set the energy scale for fluctuations of the \( Z_2 \) gauge field. Vison excitations are vortices of this emergent \( Z_2 \) gauge field—that is, excitations where the product \( \prod \sigma_{ij}^{\mu} \) on a plaquette changes sign. For practical calculations it is more convenient to switch to a dual description of the \( Z_2 \) gauge field in terms of its vortex excitations8, where the pure gauge field terms in the second line of equation (1) take the form of a fully-frustrated Ising model on the dice lattice. This model has been studied in detail in refs 19 and 20 and gives rise to three flat vison bands if restricted to nearest-neighbour vison interactions.
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The coupling between spinons and visons is a long-range statistical interaction (a spinon picks up a Berry’s phase of π when encircling a vison\textsuperscript{a}), which cannot be expressed in the form of a simple local Hamiltonian in the vortex representation. However, the fact that visons on the dice lattice are non-dispersing comes to the rescue here. Because these excitations are localized and can only be created in pairs, the long-range statistical interaction is effectively cancelled. Indeed, if a spinon is carried around a pair of visons, it does not pick up a Berry’s phase. This is in precise analogy to an electron carried around a pair of superconducting Abrikosov vortices, where the total encircled flux is 2\pi and thus no phase is accumulated. The vison pairs are excited locally by a spinon, and thus it is reasonable to model the spinon–vison interaction by a local energy–energy coupling, neglecting the long-range statistical part. Accordingly we choose the simplest, gauge-invariant Hamiltonian of bosonic spinons on the kagome lattice coupled to a single, non-dispersing vison mode on the dual Dice lattice

\[
\begin{align*}
H &= H_s + \sum_i \Delta_v \phi_i + g_0 \Delta_v \sum_{\vec{r} \in \text{hexagons}} \phi_i \phi_j (\epsilon_{ij} Q_{ij}^r b_{\vec{r}i} b_{\vec{r}j} + \text{h.c.}) \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

Here, the real field \(\phi_i\) describes visons living on the dice lattice sites \(i\), \(g_0\) denotes the spinon–vison coupling strength and \(\Delta_v\) is the vison gap. The sum in the interaction term runs only over the three-coordinated Dice lattice sites \(i\) and couples the spinon bond energy on the triangular kagome plaquettes to the local vison gap at the plaquette centre. Further terms, where spinons on the hexagonal kagome plaquettes interact with visons at the centre of the hexagons are allowed, but neglected for simplicity.

A more detailed discussion of this interaction term can be found in the Supplementary Methods. We are going to compute the dynamic structure factor \(S(k, \omega)\) using the model equation (2) for a particular \(Z_2\) spin liquid state that has been identified in ref. 2. For the nearest-neighbour kagome antiferromagnet there are two independent bond expectation values \(Q_{ij} \in \{Q_1, Q_2\}\) and the two distinct, locally stable mean-field solutions have \(Q_1 = Q_2\) or \(Q_1 = -Q_2\). The \(Q_1 = Q_2\) state has flux \(\pi\) in the elementary hexagons, whereas the \(Q_1 = -Q_2\) state is a zero-flux state. During the remainder of this article we focus only on the \(Q_1 = Q_2\) state, as it gives rise to a little peak in \(S(k, \omega)\) at small frequencies at the \(M\) point of the extended Brillouin zone, in accordance with experimental results. Results for the other state are discussed in the Supplementary Methods. Two other bosonic \(Z_2\) states have been identified on the kagome lattice\textsuperscript{a}, but we refrain from computing the structure factor for these states, because both have a doubled unit cell, which complicates the calculations considerably.

**Dynamic structure factor**

Neutron scattering experiments measure the dynamic structure factor

\[
S(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} e^{i \vec{k} \cdot (\vec{R}_i - \vec{R}_j)} \int dt e^{-i \omega t} \langle S_i(t) \cdot S_j(0) \rangle
\]

which we are going to compute for the model presented in equation (2). Here \(\vec{R}_i\) denotes the position of lattice site \(i\). Note that \(S(k, \omega)\) is periodic in the extended Brillouin zone depicted in (Fig. 1e). After expressing \(S_i \cdot S_j\) in terms of Schwinger bosons and diagonalizing the free spinon Hamiltonian with

Figure 1 | Density plots of the the dynamic spin-structure factor \(S(k, \omega)\) for the \(Q_1 = Q_2\) spin liquid state. a–c. Plots of \(S(k, \omega)\) at zero temperature for different spinon–vison interaction strengths as a function of frequency and momentum along the high-symmetry directions between the \(\Gamma, M\) and \(K\) points of the extended Brillouin zone, indicated by the blue arrows in e, a. Non-interacting spinons. Note that in the \(Q_1 = Q_2\) state two of the three spinon bands are degenerate, whereas the third, highest energy spinon band is flat. This flat spinon band gives rise to the horizontal feature at \(\omega \sim 0.75J\). b. Spinon-vison interaction \(g_0 = 0.2\). c, Spinon–vison interaction \(g_0 = 0.6\). d, e, \(S(k, \omega)\) for non-interacting spinons at fixed frequency \(\omega/J = 0.4\) (d) and \(\omega/J = 0.85\) (e). The elementary Brillouin zone of the kagome lattice is indicated by a dashed hexagon in e. Note the sharp onset of the two-spinon continuum for non-interacting spinons in a and d, which is washed out when interactions with visons are accounted for. All data in this figure were calculated for \(|Q_1| = 0.4\) and the spinon gap was fixed at \(\Delta_v \sim 0.05J\). The vison gap is set to \(\Delta_v = 0.025J\) in b and c.
We attribute this feature to impurity spins, which are not accounted for in our approach. In Herbertsmithite excess copper substitutes for zinc in the interlayer sites. These spin-1/2 impurities are only weakly coupled to the kagome layers, with an exchange constant that is on the order of one keV\(^2\). Although it is unlikely that these impurities contribute considerably to a flattening of the dynamical structure factor as discussed in this paper, we believe they are responsible for the above-mentioned low-energy contribution. This is in accordance with recent low-energy neutron scattering measurements on powder samples of Herbertsmithite\(^26\), but a detailed calculation remains an open problem for future study. Also note that such a low-energy contribution would hide the momentum-independent onset of the dynamical structure factor, which is at the energy \(\omega_{\text{onset}} = 2\Delta_v + 2\Delta_s\) in the scenario discussed here.

Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya (DM) interactions as well as an easy-axis anisotropy on the order of \(\sim J/10\) are known to exist in Herbertsmithite, but have been neglected in our analysis for simplicity. The effect of DM interactions has been studied within a 1/N expansion\(^27,28\), where the \(Q_1 = Q_2\) state is favoured over the \(Q_1 = -Q_2\) state if the DM interactions are sufficiently strong.

Last, neutron scattering experiments explored energies up to \(\omega \approx 0.65\)\(\mathrm{fJ}\) and confirmed that the integrated weight accounts for roughly 20% of the total moment sum rule\(^4\). Consequently it is reasonable to expect that the dynamic structure factor is finite up to energies of a few \(J\). For the parameters chosen in our calculation (that is \(Q_1 = 0.4\) and a spinon gap \(\Delta_s = 0.05\)) the structure factor for non-interacting spinons has a sharp cutoff at an energy around \(\omega \approx 1.3fJ\), corresponding to roughly twice the spinon bandwidth. However, if interactions with visons are included, this upper cutoff is shifted to considerably larger energies. For a spinon–vison coupling \(g_0 = 0.6\), the structure factor has a smooth upper cutoff at an energy around \(\omega \approx 3fJ\). Such large bandwidths are hardly achievable in theories with non-interacting spinons. We note that similarly large bandwidths have been found in exact diagonalization studies\(^29\).

**Methods**

The one-loop expression for the dynamic spin susceptibility, \(\chi(k, i\omega_n)\), is given by

\[
\chi(k, i\omega_n) = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{q,\ell} \langle \gamma(q, i\Omega_n) \delta_{\ell}(k - q, i\omega_n - \Omega_\ell) \rangle \\
\times \left[ U_\ell(q) V_{\ell}^\ast(q)(k - q) + V_\ell(q) U_{\ell}(k - q) \right] \\
\times U_\ell(q) V_{\ell}^\ast(q)(k - q) + \ldots
\]

where the dots represent similar terms that give a contribution at negative frequencies after analytic continuation and thus play no role in calculating \(S(k, \omega)\) at zero temperature. Note that we are working in a Matsubara representation here, where the spin-susceptibility \(\chi(k, i\omega_n)\) and the spinon propagator \(G(q, i\Omega_n)\) are expressed as functions of the bosonic Matsubara frequencies \(i\Omega_n\) and \(i\omega_n\). The summation over the sublattice indices \(i, j, \ell, m \in \{1, 2, 3\}\) is implicit here and the \(3 \times 3\) matrices \(U_\ell\) and \(V_\ell\) form the Bogoliubov rotation matrix

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix} U & -V^\ast \\ V & U^\ast \end{pmatrix}
\]

as defined in ref. 2, which diagonalizes the mean-field spinon Hamiltonian. \(G_i(q, i\Omega_n)\) denotes the dressed spinon Green’s function with band-index \(\ell\)

\[
G_\ell^{-1}(q, i\Omega_n) = \delta_{\ell}(q) - \epsilon_{\ell}(q) - \Sigma_\ell(q, i\Omega_n)
\]

where \(\epsilon_{\ell}(q)\) is the bare spinon dispersion. The spinon self-energy (Fig. 2), which we compute self-consistently, is determined by the equation

\[
\Sigma_\ell(q, i\Omega_n) = \sum_{p, p'} \lambda_{pp'}(q, p, q) G_{p'}(i\Omega_n - 2\Delta_v, p)
\]

Here the \(6 \times 6\) matrix \(\lambda(q, p)\) denotes the bare spinon–vison interaction vertex, with \(p\) (\(q\)) the momentum of the outgoing (incoming) spinon. Note that the six

**Discussion**

Figure 1 shows the two-spinon contribution to the dynamic structure factor for the \(Q_1 = Q_2\) state (results for the \(Q_1 = -Q_2\) state can be found in the Supplementary Methods). The onset of the two-spinon continuum, which has a minimum at the M point, is clearly visible in Fig. 1a as the line of frequencies below which the dynamic structure factor vanishes. Moreover, several sharp peaks appear inside the spinon continuum. We note that such features in the two-spinon contribution to \(S(k, \omega)\) are generic and are present also for gapless Dirac spin liquids.

Figure 1b,c show the dynamic structure factor along the same high-symmetry directions as in Fig. 1a, but now including the effect of spinon-induced vison pair production for two different interaction strengths \(g_0\). The non-dispersing visons act as a powerful momentum sink for the spinons and lead to a considerable shift of spectral weight below the two-spinon continuum. The computed structure factor is considerably flattened at intermediate energies. Our results for the \(Q_1 = Q_2\) state also capture the small low-frequency peak in \(S(k, \omega)\) at the M point, which has been seen in experiment. This peak is a remnant of a minimum in the threshold of the two-spinon continuum at the M point, and we conjecture that it might be an indication that this particular \(Z_2\) spin liquid state is realized in Herbertsmithite. In Fig. 3 we show plots of \(S(k, \omega)\) at constant energy, where this peak is clearly visible, and compare our results qualitatively to the experimental data. Note that we did not choose the parameters to fit the experimental data, instead we tried to use reasonable values for the spinon gap \(\Delta_s \approx 0.05fJ\) and the vison gap \(\Delta_v = 0.25fJ\) to make features related to the momentum-independent onset of the dynamic structure factor better visible.

Also the spinon bandwidth was adjusted to be on the order of \(J\).

In Fig. 1c, 3g one can barely see small oscillations of \(S(k, \omega)\) at low frequencies. These oscillations originate from the self-consistent computation of the spinon self-energy \(\Sigma(k, \omega)\) and are related to resonances in the self-energy at energies corresponding to the creation of two, four and higher even numbers of vison excitations.

The experimental results show a strong increase of the dynamic structure factor at energies below 1meV away from the M point.

**Figure 2** Feynman diagrams for the spinon self energy and spin susceptibility for the theory in equation (2). Spinon self energy (left), one-loop contribution to the spin susceptibility (middle) and corresponding lowest order vertex correction (right). Double lines are dressed spinon propagators and dashed lines are bare vison propagators.
spinon bands come in three degenerate pairs owing to the SU(2) spin-symmetry. Furthermore, note that the flat vison band is not renormalized at arbitrary order in the spinon–vison coupling.

We emphasize here that a self-consistent computation of the spinon self-energy is necessary, because the real part of \( \Sigma(k, \omega) \) is large and broadens the spinon bands. A non-self-consistent computation thus leads to sharp spinon excitations above the bare spinon band, which are unphysical as they would decay immediately via vison pair production. A different approximation, which circumvents this problem, would be to calculate \( \Sigma(k, \omega) \) non-self-consistently and neglect the real part completely. This approximation violates sum rules however, as the integrated spectral weight of the spinon is no longer unity (for a detailed discussion, see the Supplementary Methods).

Note that we do not determine the parameters \( |Q| \) and \( \lambda \) variationally. Instead, we use them to fix the spinon gap as well as the spinon bandwidth. \( |Q| \) is restricted to values between 0 and \( \sqrt{2} / 3 \) and quantifies antiferromagnetic correlations of nearest-neighbour spins (\( |Q| = 1 / \sqrt{2} \) if nearest-neighbour spins form a singlet). All data shown in this paper was computed for \( |Q| = 0.4 \), and \( \lambda \) has been adjusted such that the spinon gap takes the value \( \Delta_s / J = 0.05 \). As mentioned in the introduction, we assume that the vison gap \( \Delta_v \) is small owing to evidence of proximity to a VBS state, and we chose \( \Delta_s / J = 0.025 \) for all data shown in this Article—namely, the vison gap is roughly half the spinon gap.
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