Identifying a Bath-Induced Bose Liquid in Interacting Spin-Boson Models
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We study the ground state phase diagram of a one-dimensional hard-core bosonic model with nearest-neighbor interactions (XXZ model) where every site is coupled Ohmically to an independent but identical reservoir, hereby generalizing spin-boson models to interacting spin-boson systems. We show that a bath-induced Bose liquid phase can occur in the ground state phase diagram away from half filling. This phase is compressible, gapless, and conducting but not superfluid. At half filling, only a Luttinger liquid and a charge density wave are found. The phase transition between them is of Kosterlitz-Thouless type where the Luttinger parameter takes a nonuniversal value. The applied quantum Monte Carlo method can be used for all open bosonic and unfrustrated spin systems, regardless of their dimension, filling factor, and spectrum of the dissipation as long as the quantum system couples to the bath via the density operators.

Introduction.—Quantum systems are, in general, coupled with their surroundings. In standard textbook scenarios it is assumed, explicitly or implicitly, that the system-environment coupling is weak such that the equilibrium state of the system can be described by the Boltzmann-Gibbs ensemble. However, this property no longer holds for quantum systems with finite coupling strength to the environment (i.e., when this coupling is comparable with the typical energy scales in the system), where the system-environment coupling can qualitatively change the properties of the system [1]. The paradigmatic model of quantum open systems is the spin-boson model [2–4]: a two-level (spin-1/2) system coupled to a bath of harmonic oscillators with an infinite number of bosonic degrees of freedom [5–7].

The coupling to the heat bath drives a transition between a localized (classical) and delocalized (quantum) state for the spin, which is closely related with the long-range Ising model [8,9] and quantum impurity models [10–14].

Though systems consisting of a single or a few spins coupled to a heat bath have been discussed extensively [5,7,15–17], the situation is much more complicated when the system itself is an interacting quantum many-body system. The interplay between many-body effects and dissipation opens avenues for observing unknown and richer phenomena [18–40] than what is expected on the basis of these effects separately. Notwithstanding the intrinsic difficulties with strong correlations, significant progress has been made for fermionic systems with retarded interactions by using determinant quantum Monte Carlo methods [41–43] and dynamical mean field theory [44], and for one-dimensional (1D) open quantum many-body systems using bosonization [22,23,45]. Also some specific models such as Ising-like Hamiltonians with site coupling of Ising spins to the bath, or XY-like Hamiltonian with coupling of the type $\sigma^+ b + \sigma^- b^\dagger$ have been studied but simulations were typically performed for classical systems [46–50]. However, studies of general (bosonic) quantum models with the density operator coupling to the bath have not been systematically undertaken quantitatively. Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations along the lines outlined in this work can in general be applied to such models as long as the system has a positive representation.

In this Letter we apply a numerically exact QMC method with worm-type updates [51] implemented in Ref. [52] (for a recent review, see Ref. [53]) to study the equilibrium properties of open quantum many-body systems. Our work is a natural generalization of previous seminal work on spin-boson models [5,54] to the many-spin cases, where each spin not only interacts with a local environment but also with other spins. Away from half filling we find a gapless, compressible, conducting but nonsuperfluid phase, which has all the properties of a Bose liquid. Since it only exists thanks to the harmonic bath, we term it a bath-induced Bose liquid (BIBL). Throughout this Letter we will use the language of hard-core bosons instead of the equivalent spin-1/2 terminology.

Model and method.—We study a 1D lattice of $L$ sites on which hard-core bosons live with system Hamiltonian

$$H_s = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left\{ -t (a_i^\dagger a_j + a_j^\dagger a_i) + V \left( n_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_j - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\} - \mu \sum_i n_i,$$

where $t$ denotes the hopping amplitude, $V$ the nearest-neighbor (NN) density-density interaction strength, and $\mu$ the chemical potential (half filling corresponds to $\mu = 0$). This Hamiltonian is equivalent to the XXZ model with a magnetic
Ohmic coupling (yielding a retarded density-density interaction term in the kernel of bosons of the bath. The asymptotic behavior of the kernel at zero temperature is

\[ H_{\text{ret}} = \sum_{i,k} \left[ \lambda_i \left( n_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( b_i^\dagger b_i + b_i b_i^\dagger \right) + \omega_{ik} b_i^\dagger b_i^\dagger \right], \]

where \( b_i \) and \( b_i^\dagger \) denote the annihilation and creation operators of the bath with eigenmodes \( \omega_k \) on site \( i \) and characterized by the spectral density

\[ J(\omega) = \pi \sum_k \lambda_k^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_k) = \pi \alpha \omega^s \quad (0 < \omega < \omega_D), \]

where \( \alpha \) represents the coupling strength. The spectral function \( J(\omega) \) is chosen to be linear in \( \omega \) corresponding to Ohmic coupling (\( s = 1 \)) and has a hard frequency cutoff \( \omega_D \) \( (\omega_D = 10 \text{ in this work}) \).

The oscillator degrees of freedom can be integrated out yielding a retarded density-density interaction term in imaginary time. The partition function takes the form

\[ Z = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H} = Z_B \int \mathcal{D} a_i^\dagger \mathcal{D} a_i e^{-\beta H_{\text{ret}} - S_{\text{rel}}}, \]

where \( H_{\text{ret}}(a, a^\dagger) \) is the system Hamiltonian and \( Z_B = \text{Tr}_{\{b_i\}} e^{-\beta \sum_k \omega_k b_i^\dagger b_i} \) the partition function for the free bosons of the bath. \( S_{\text{rel}} \) describes the effective action of the on-site retarded interaction,

\[ S_{\text{rel}} = -\int_0^\beta d\tau \int_0^\beta d\tau' \sum_i \left[ n_i(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \right] D(\tau - \tau') \left[ n_i(\tau') - \frac{1}{2} \right], \]

with site-independent kernel \( D(\tau - \tau') = \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{J(\omega) \cosh(\frac{\omega}{2} - \omega |\tau - \tau'|)}{\sinh(\frac{\omega}{2})} \).

The asymptotic behavior of the kernel at zero temperature for \( \tau \gg \tau_c \) is \( D(\tau) \propto 1/\tau^{1+s} \), where \( \tau_c = 2\pi/\omega_D \) is the cutoff. For \( s \leq 1 \) and thus including Ohmic dissipation \( (s = 1) \), power counting shows that the retardation is strong enough to induce a transition (cf. the Ising model with long-range interactions \( J(x) \sim 1/x^{1+s} \) [8]). Without dissipation \( [\alpha = 0 \text{ in Eq. (3)}] \), the XXZ model is free of the sign problem. Monte Carlo simulations in the presence of dissipation remain possible when keeping the retardation in the exponent. The only change to the implementation of the worm algorithm [51,52] is that the potential energy needs to include the retardation; i.e., when the worm is moving around in imaginary time, the evaluation of the integrals resulting from the retardation is required.

**Strong dissipative limit.**—Before analyzing the numerical results, we perturbatively analyze the limit of strong dissipation. For simplicity we take an XY model \( (V = 0) \). In the limit \( t/\alpha \to 0 \) quantum fluctuations are completely suppressed. The system is then in a mixed state with an equal-weight mixture of all possible Fock states of hard-core bosons. Half filling requires a more careful analysis beyond this zeroth order result. Turning on the tunneling but staying in the regime \( t/\alpha \ll 1 \), we can treat the tunneling terms as a perturbation, which we restrict to second order virtual hopping processes. In the dual picture, the world line configuration for the hard-core bosons can be considered as a Coulomb gas of kinks and antikinks with interactions that are local in space but long-range in imaginary time, \( V(\tau_1^s - \tau_2^s) \approx -4\alpha \delta_{ij} \ln(|\tau_1^s - \tau_2^s|/\tau_c) \) (that is a 2D Coulombic interaction for a kink-antikink pair located at \( \tau_1^s \) and \( \tau_2^s \)). The ground-state (kinetic) energy (per site) is then (see the Supplemental Material [55])

\[ E_g/L \approx -\frac{\gamma^2 \tau_c}{4\alpha - 1}, \]

which agrees well with the numerical results, as is shown in the Supplemental Material [55]. The system can find a lower energy if it can maximize the number of bonds. Therefore, at half filling, this will require an empty site to be next to an occupied site, since two adjacent empty or occupied sites can’t have virtual exchanges. We expect, thus, a tendency towards a charge density wave (CDW) with a gap \( \Delta \sim E_g/L \).

**Incommensurate filling.**—We now switch to the discussion of the numerical results. We first focus on the case of incommensurate filling of the hard-core bosons \( (\mu \neq 0) \). In the absence of dissipation, the physics is relatively straightforward: the ground state is a Luttinger liquid (LL) irrespective of the interaction strength. To study the competition between quantum fluctuations and dissipation we set \( V = 0 \) in Eq. (1) and address the problem of how the dissipation can qualitatively change the nature of the LL phase. To distinguish various quantum phases, we first study the single particle correlation function \( G(r) = \langle a_i a_{i+r} \rangle \) for different \( \alpha \). As shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), the single particle correlation function decays algebraically for weak dissipation, while for strong dissipation it decays exponentially. We also study the density correlation functions in (imaginary) time and space. We see that the on-site unequal-(imaginary) time density correlation function \( S(\tau) = \sum_i \langle (n_i(\tau) - \bar{n})(n_i(0) - \bar{n}) \rangle /L \) with \( \bar{n} \) the density of the particle and \( \hat{n} \approx 1/3 \) [shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)] decays algebraically with \( \tau \) for strong dissipation. This decay becomes, however, extremely weak with increasing \( \alpha \), e.g., for \( \alpha = 0.5 \), \( L = 4 \), and \( \beta = 108 \) it is just 0.03. Although this decay increases rapidly with \( \beta \) we expect it to connect continuously to a constant in the limit \( t/\alpha \to 0 \). The equal-time density correlation functions \( S(\tau) = |\langle (n_i - \bar{n})(n_{i+r} - \bar{n}) \rangle| \) decay
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FIG. 2 (color online). (a) Luttinger parameter $K$ as a function of $\alpha$ and finite-size scaling of (b) the staggered structure factor $S(Q)$ and (c) the charge gap $\Delta$ for different $\alpha$ [$V = 0, \mu = 0$ for (a)–(c)]. (d) Phase diagram at half filling in the $\alpha$-$V$ plane (inset: the dependence of the critical Luttinger parameter $K_c$ on $\alpha$). (e) Luttinger parameter $K$ as a function of $V$, and (f) finite-size scaling of $S(Q)$ for different $V$ [$\alpha = 0.1, \mu = 0$ for (e) and (f)]. Error bars are shown but may be smaller than the point size of the symbols; the scaling relation for (a)–(f) is $\beta = L/2$ for $z = 1$.

onset of the CDW order and the charge gap [shown in Fig. 2(c)]. However, the gap in the massive phase of the KT transition may be exponentially small and reading off such a gap is prone to error. Note that the CDW order is induced entirely by the dissipation, which reminds us of the Peierls transition in low-dimensional electron materials [60].

To complete the discussion and the phase diagram at half filling, we also study the effect of NN interactions [as shown in Fig. 2(d)]. The situation without dissipation [$\alpha = 0$ in Eq. (3)] is well understood: the NN interactions can drive the system from a LL to a CDW Mott insulator at the critical point $V_c = 2\tau$ via a KT transition with $K_c = 1/2$. Tuning on the dissipation suppresses quantum tunneling. We therefore expect that dissipation will make it easier for the system to access the CDW Mott-insulating state. This is reflected in the numerics as is shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), where we see that for weak dissipation ($\alpha = 0.1$) the phase transition point of the LL-CDW transition is shifted down to $V_c = 0.5\tau$. Along the phase boundary between the LL and the CDW, the critical Luttinger parameter changes continuously from $K_c = 0.5$ at $V = 2$ (and $\alpha = 0$) to $K_c = 0.75(3)$ at $V = 0$ as is shown in the inset of Fig. 2(d). Within our accuracy, we saw no sign of an intermittent BIBL phase at half filling.

**Experimental realization and detection.**—Hard-core bosons with Ohmic dissipation can be realized in a Bose-Fermi mixture in an optical lattice by embedding quasi-1D heavy bosons with strong repulsive interaction into a 3D Fermi sea composed of light fermions [45]. The BIBL phase is characterized by the exponential decay of the single-particle correlation function with distance, which can be seen in time-of-flight interference experiments. The finite compressibility and the density-density correlation function can be measured with in situ single-site resolution techniques [61,62]. Conductivity measurements would require phase modulation of the lattice [63].

**Conclusion and outlook.**—In summary, we generalize the worm algorithm to study a 1D open quantum many-body model consisting of hard-core bosons where the density of every particle couples Ohmically to an independent, local bath. Away from half filling, we found a homogeneous, compressible, conducting but nonsuperfluid bath-induced Bose liquid phase, which can be seen as the many-body generalization of the localized states in the spin-boson model. At half filling, we find a KT type phase transition between the CDW and LL phases, but with a critical value of the Luttinger parameter that is in general nonuniversal. Our method can be applied to all open bosonic and unfrustrated spin systems with a similar form of the density-type coupling to the bath, in one or higher dimensions, and with Ohmic or non-Ohmic dissipation. In future work, the generalization of our method to higher dimensional systems, or systems with a different Hamiltonian (e.g., gapped systems) or different type of dissipation (e.g., sub-Ohmic) will be studied, as well as the entanglement properties.
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